



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,136	11/19/2001	Kenneth Largman	A-70543-1/RMA/LM/KRG	8593
7590 10/06/2004  FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			EXAMINER	
			MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2113	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Auutination No	A == 1: - = = 4(=)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/075,136	LARGMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph Manoskey	2113			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	uly 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 7-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 7-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2002 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/11/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,136 Page 2

Art Unit: 2113

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 11 March 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed.

No copies of the foreign patents or non-patent literature have been supplied and thus have not been considered. All U.S. Patents listed in the IDS have been considered. If the applicant wishes for foreign and non-patent documents to be considered then a new IDS with a copy of the documents should be supplied with the response to this Office Action.

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.52(c).

#### Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Art Unit: 2113

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 7-14, 16, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goshey et al., U.S. Patent 6,205,527, hereinafter referred to as "Goshey".
- 5. Referring to claim 7, Goshey teaches a method of a computer repairing itself (See Col. 2, line 43 and Col. 4, lines 62-63). Goshey discloses the booting from a first disk drive within a main computer (See Fig. 1A and Col. 5, lines 4-5). Goshey then teaches in response to determining a fault, booting from a second drive that is within the main computer (See Col. 2, lines 56-63 and Col. 5, lines 8-11). Goshey discloses repairing the software on the first boot device (See Col. 4, lines 21-25). Finally Goshey teaches either maintaining operation using the second boot device or going back to operating off the first boot device (See Col. 4, lines 20-25 and Col. 5, lines 4-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,136

Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

6. Referring to claim 8, Goshey discloses the method copy software from a device other than the first boot device to the first boot device, the other device being the second boot device (See Col. 5, lines 1-4). Goshey teaches the second boot device containing backup software for copying back to the first boot device (See Col. 2, lines 50-56 and Col. 5, lines 1-4). The backup data includes operation system files and boot files (See Col. 2, lines 51-53).

- 7. Referring to claim 9, Goshey discloses a boot management code that will identify drives connected to the computer system and enable booting of the secondary storage device (See Col. 4, lines 40-44).
- 8. Referring to claims 10, Goshey teaches the computer detecting the fault of the computer and thus the need for repair (See Col. 4, lines 3-6) and Goshey teaches the system allowing the user to re-boot to the other storage device (See Col. 3, lines 9-14).
- 9. Referring to claims 11 and 17, Goshey discloses repairing software on the first boot device (See Col. 2, line 43 and Col. 4, lines 62-63). Goshey teaches the second boot device containing backup software for copying back to the first boot device (See Col. 2, lines 50-56 and Col. 5, lines 1-4). Also taught is virus checking (See Col. 12, lines 36-37) and formatting the drive (See Col. 4, lines 65-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,136 Page 5

Art Unit: 2113

10. Referring to claims 12 and 18, Goshey teaches formatting the hard drive and restoring the files from the backup of the second drive (See Col. 4 line 65 to Col. 5 line 4).

- 11. Referring claims 13, Goshey discloses teaches storing backing up files on the storage media (See Col. 2, lines 51-56) before booting from the second device. It is also taught that the storage device is enabled for protection of the device (See Col. 4, lines 29-31).
- 12. Referring to claim 14, Goshey discloses the method copy software from a device other than the first boot device to the first boot device, the other device being the second boot device (See Col. 5, lines 1-4). Goshey teaches the second boot device containing backup software for copying back to the first boot device (See Col. 2, lines 50-56 and Col. 5, lines 1-4). The backup data includes operation system files and boot files (See Col. 2, lines 51-53). Goshey teaches the second boot device containing backup software for copying back to the first boot device (See Col. 2, lines 50-56 and Col. 5, lines 1-4). Goshey discloses a boot management code that will identify drives connected to the computer system and enable booting of the secondary storage device (See Col. 4, lines 40-44). Goshey then teaches in response to determining a fault, booting from a second drive that is within the main computer (See Col. 2, lines 56-63 and Col. 5, lines 8-11). Goshey teaches the computer detecting the fault of the computer and thus the need for repair (See Col. 4, lines 3-6) and Goshey teaches the

Art Unit: 2113

system allowing the user to re-boot to the other storage device (See Col. 3, lines 9-14). Goshey discloses repairing software on the first boot device (See Col. 2, line 43 and Col. 4, lines 62-63). Goshey teaches the second boot device containing backup software for copying back to the first boot device (See Col. 2, lines 50-56 and Col. 5, lines 1-4). Also taught is virus checking (See Col. 12, lines 36-37) and formatting the drive (See Col. 4, lines 65-67).

13. Referring to claim 16, Goshey teaches a computer repairing itself (See Col. 2, line 43 and Col. 4, lines 62-63). The computer has a main computer hardware box, a CPU and memory in the hardware box (See Fig. 1A and Col. 6, lines 58-63). Goshey discloses the computer containing two hard drives in the hardware box, this is interpreted as a first and second controller for respective first and second hard drive data storage devices prior to a need for repair (See Col. 2, lines 56-63 and Col. 5, lines 8-11). Goshey teaches the second boot device containing backup software for copying back to the first boot device (See Col. 2, lines 50-56 and Col. 5, lines 1-4). The backup data includes operation system files and boot files (See Col. 2, lines 51-53). Goshey also teaches the computer have a bus for coupling of the components (See Col. 7, lines 8-12). Goshey teaches the computer detecting the fault of the computer and thus the need for repair (See Col. 4, lines 3-6) and Goshey teaches the system allowing the user to re-boot to the other storage device (See Col. 3, lines 9-14), this is interpreted as a switch coupled to the hard drives being exposed to the through hardware box. Goshey

Art Unit: 2113

discloses a boot management code that will identify drives connected to the computer system and enable booting of the secondary storage device (See Col. 4, lines 40-44).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goshey in view of Microsoft Corporation, *Microsoft Windows 95 Resource Kit*, hereinafter will be referred to as "Microsoft".
- 16. Referring to claims 15, 19 and 20, Goshey teaches all the limitations (See rejections of claims 9, 10, and 13 respectively) except Goshey does not disclose the method offering the user a choice of thoroughness of repair in regards to the level of formatting the drive. Goshey does however disclose reformatting a hard drive but is silent on the thoroughness of the formatting or if a plurality of choices is offered (See Col. 4 line 65 to Col. 5 line 4). Microsoft discloses a method of formatting a hard disk that offers three format type choices to a user (See page 651). These choices include "Quick", "Full", and "Copy system files only", they are interpreted as High-level reformat, Low-level re-format, and copy template software without first re-formatting

respectively. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the repair method that includes formatting the drive of Goshey with the three different levels of formatting a hard drive of Microsoft. This would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to do because it provides the user with selecting a choice that is more appropriate for how fast they wish to have the procedure completed.

#### Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are closely related repairing systems.
  - U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0053044 to Gold et al.
  - U.S. Patent 6,317,845 to Meyer et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Manoskey whose telephone number is (703) 308-5466. After approximately October 15 the examiner can be reached at the new Alexandria telephone number, (571) 272-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8am to 4:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,136 Page 9

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDM September 29, 2004

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100